EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

THOMAS H. KRAKAUER, * Case No. 1:14CV333

*

Plaintiff,

*

vs. * Greensboro, North Carolina

* January 17, 2017

DISH NETWORK, L.L.C., * 9:30 a.m.

k

Defendant.

DAILY TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL TESTIMONY

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CATHERINE C. EAGLES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, and a jury.

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: JOHN W. BARRETT, ESQUIRE

BRIAN A. GLASSER, ESQUIRE Bailey & Glasser, LLP 209 Capitol Street

Charleston, West Virginia 25301

MATTHEW P. MCCUE, ESQUIRE

Law Office of Matthew P. McCue 1 South Avenue, Third Floor

Natick, MA 01760

JACOB M. NORRIS, ESQUIRE

The Norris Law Firm

1033 Bullard Court, Suite 207 Raleigh, North Carolina 27615

For the Defendant: PETER A. BICKS, ESQUIRE

ELYSE D. ECHTMAN, ESQUIRE JOHN L. EWALD, ESQUIRE

Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP

51 West 52nd Street

New York, New York 10019

```
1
                              RICHARD J. KESHIAN, ESQUIRE
                              Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton, LLP
 2
                              1001 W. Fourth Street
                              Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101
 3
 4
    Court Reporter:
                              Lori Russell, RMR, CRR
                              P.O. Box 20593
                              Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27120
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
             Proceedings recorded by stenotype reporter.
24
        Transcript produced by Computer-Aided Transcription.
25
```

3

1	INDEX	
2		
3	Defense Witnesses:	Page
4	DEBRA ARON, Ph.D.	24
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Ewald Cross-Examination by Mr. Barrett Redirect Examination by Mr. Ewald	50
6		61
7	ROBERT FENILI, Ph.D.	71
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Echtman Cross-Examination by Mr. Barrett Redirect Examination by Ms. Echtman	99
9		113
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good morning. I hope everybody got a few hours off over the weekend. I would hope you got more time, but I suspect you didn't. I know we were all busy working away.

Let's see. Just in terms of housekeeping matters before we bring the jury in -- I printed myself a list and then I forgot to bring it in. I think I ruled on everything except Plaintiff's 2008, which my notes reflected I had deferred. And I think I entered text orders on everything else. Anybody have any questions about those? No? All right.

And I saw the Defendant's notice about what they're expecting in terms of time. That should work well, assuming we get to about lunchtime or early afternoon and the luncheon — the lunch, obviously, I'm hungry already — the evidence is all in, then we can send the jury home and work on the instructions this afternoon, have closing arguments in the morning. If the evidence only takes an hour, I'll revisit that schedule. We ought to be able to have closing arguments this afternoon, but that's my rough plan about the timing.

I know there's probably other things to discuss, but they might can wait till the jury's not here. But if there is anything anyone wants to address now before the jury comes in. Does the Plaintiff have anything?

MR. BARRETT: Yes, Your Honor. To the extent that the

```
Defendant intends to go beyond Debra Aron's original expert
 2
   report, I believe that we should take that up now.
 3
             THE COURT:
                        Uh-huh.
 4
             MR. BARRETT: Depending on your ruling, the testimony
 5
    could be very brief, I would think, so --
                        Okay. What's -- what -- do you anticipate
 6
             THE COURT:
 7
   her going beyond that?
8
             MR. EWALD: Your Honor, we anticipate that the
9
   majority of her testimony will relate to the opinions she
10
    offered and her --
             THE COURT:
                         To what?
11
12
             MR. EWALD: The opinions she offered in her original
   report.
13
             THE COURT:
                        Uh-huh.
14
15
             MR. EWALD:
                        We also intend, as Plaintiffs did, to ask
   Dr. Aron questions relating to the stipulation of call
16
17
    categories that has been admitted into evidence. And, as
18
   Plaintiffs did, we intend for that to be very brief. But,
    other than that, I can't think of anything that is arguably
19
   even beyond her original opinions.
20
21
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
22
             MR. BARRETT: Two issues respecting that, Your Honor.
23
    The original opinions are irrelevant to the issues here.
24
   original opinions were four.
25
             THE COURT: And I don't have her report in front of
```